

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/702,493	10/31/2000	Peter W. Estelle	NOR-937	9829
37172 7	7590 09/29/2003			
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (NORDSON) 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET			EXAMINER	
			KEASEL, ERIC S	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	(0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
į	Advisory Action	09/702,493	ESTELLE					
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del>,</del>				
-	The MAN INCO DATE:	Eric Keasel						
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c		<u></u>				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
1	PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)							
   t   3	b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from (2).							
e	earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on a set forth in three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
1 :	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
	) and the second							
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I								
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment								
5.	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the							
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly							
7.[	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below as a second and an							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected:							
8 F	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
∟ g.⊏	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement (1)	approved or b) disapprove	ed by the Examiner					
∟. ].0	statement(s)(	(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
<b>∪</b> .∟	Other:		-	1				
<u>=</u> k	( 25SEPO3							
Pater	nt and Trademark Office							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection. Applicant appears to be misreading the Ohtsuka reference. The cited passage at column 13, lines 56-63 does not refer to Fig. 6 or time T1, nor does the "specified period" mean that this period is fixed.

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY